

SHAPING DEMOCRACY IN THE DIGITAL AGE: THE ROLE OF MEDIA IN INFLUENCING VOTER BEHAVIOR AND POLITICAL COMMUNICATION

Arditya Prayogi^{1*}, Riki Nasrullah², Dimas Prasetya³, Ryan Marina⁴, Singgih Setiawan⁵

^{1,3,4,5}UIN KH Abdurrahman Wahid Pekalongan, Indonesia

²Universitas Negeri Surabaya, Indonesia

Email correspondence: arditya.prayogi@uingusdur.ac.id*

Diterima tgl. 21 September 2024 Direvisi tgl. 15 Oktober 2024 Disetujui tgl. 15 Nopember 2024

ABSTRACT

This study examines the critical role of media in shaping political communication and voter behavior amid digital information disruption, with the objective of understanding its impact on democratic processes and proposing strategies for effective political communication. Utilizing a qualitative approach, the research employs a literature review method, systematically analyzing data extracted from credible sources, including peer-reviewed scholarly articles and public media, through content analysis to generate novel insights. The findings reveal that conventional and digital media significantly influence voter preferences through agenda setting and framing, directing public attention to specific issues and shaping perceptions of political candidates. Social media platforms, while enhancing political participation, particularly among younger demographics, exacerbate polarization and disinformation due to algorithmic biases that reinforce echo chambers and the activities of political buzzers disseminating tailored narratives. The study underscores the urgent need for enhanced media literacy to equip the public with critical skills to navigate information, alongside responsible media practices and ethical political campaigns. It concludes that robust collaboration among media, politicians, academics, and civil society is vital to uphold journalistic integrity, implement transparent regulations, and strengthen digital literacy, fostering a healthy democratic ecosystem. By addressing these challenges, political communication can empower informed voter decisions, ensuring the resilience of democracy in the digital era.

Keywords: Political Communication, Media Influence, Voter Behavior, Social Media, Media Literacy, Digital Democracy

1. INTRODUCTION

In the current era of (digital) information disruption, which is characterized by the rapid and unstoppable flow of information, the media (especially electronic) has become a central force in shaping the political communication landscape. Its role is no longer just to convey news, but also to determine what the public thinks and feels. Conventional media such as television and newspapers now share influence with digital platforms such as social media, which enable direct interaction between politicians and the public. This transformation has had a profound impact on democracy, on the one hand expanding political participation, but on the other hand creating new challenges such as the spread of hoaxes and polarization of opinion (Juditha et al., 2018; Zuhdi, 2020).

The media has a unique ability to direct public attention through agenda setting and framing mechanisms, where the way an issue is highlighted or framed can influence voter perceptions (CN & Siregar, 2024). For example, intense coverage of corruption or government performance can change people's priorities in assessing prospective leaders. However, this power also carries risks when the media is trapped in certain interests or social media algorithms reinforce user bias, creating an “echo chamber” that narrows the space for healthy discussion.

The presence of social media further complicates this dynamic. Platforms such as Twitter and TikTok are not only effective political campaign tools, but also arenas for political buzzers and “micro-targeting” that manipulate opinions with messages tailored to certain groups. As a result, voters are often exposed to unbalanced or even misleading information, which ultimately influences their political decisions (Aulia &

Lexianingrum, 2024; Tsuyoshi et al., 2024). Without the ability to filter information critically, people are vulnerable to being trapped in narratives built by narrow political interests.

This phenomenon is even more worrying when you look at how social media algorithms work. Social media algorithms require the attention of their users to inadvertently deepen the polarization gap by only displaying content that matches each individual's political preferences (Fatih et al., 2024). As a result, it is increasingly difficult for society to find common ground in differing views, and public spaces that should be places to exchange ideas have instead become battlegrounds for identity preferences (Faturahman et al., 2024).

On the other hand, the development of communication technology also brings positive opportunities for democracy. Social media enables more inclusive political participation, where marginalized groups who previously had difficulty accessing mainstream media can now voice their aspirations directly. Digital platforms also facilitate public oversight of government performance and political processes, creating greater transparency (Bajari & Morin, 2025). However, this potential can only be realized if accompanied by a collective awareness to use the media responsibly.

Therefore, media literacy is key to maintaining the quality of democracy amidst this flood of information. The public needs to be equipped with the ability to recognize hoaxes, understand media bias, and assess information objectively. Political and media education must be an integral part of both formal and non-formal education systems, equipping citizens with the critical skills needed in the digital era. At the same time, the media itself must maintain its independence and commitment to facts, while politicians need to be responsible in using communication platforms. Clear regulations that do not limit press freedom are also needed to create a healthy media environment. Only with collaboration between all parties - government, media, academics, and civil society - can democracy continue to run healthily, where voters make decisions based on accurate and balanced information (Djulhijjah & Kencono, 2024; Kadir et al., 2024).

This article will examine in more depth how the media shapes voter behavior, while also offering reflections on the challenges and solutions for better quality political communication in the digital era. While existing studies, such as those by Juditha et al. (2018) and Zuhdi (2020), have explored the media's role in political communication, they primarily focus on either traditional media or specific social media platforms, often overlooking the integrated dynamics of conventional and digital media in shaping voter behavior within the context of digital information disruption. Juditha et al. (2018) focused on the challenges in digital media and Zuhdi (2020) explored post-election media dynamics, this study uniquely integrates the analysis of both conventional and digital media's roles in agenda setting and framing, highlighting their combined impact on voter behavior and polarization. By contrasting these findings, the study underscores its novel contribution in proposing actionable solutions like enhanced media literacy and stakeholder collaboration, which extend beyond the descriptive analyses of previous works, thus enriching the discourse on digital democracy.

This study addresses this gap by comprehensively analyzing how both media types interact through agenda setting, framing, and algorithmic influences to impact voter perceptions and democratic processes. Furthermore, unlike prior research that largely describes challenges like polarization and disinformation, this study uniquely proposes actionable solutions, emphasizing media literacy, ethical campaign practices, and collaborative stakeholder efforts to foster a healthy democratic ecosystem, thus offering a novel contribution to the field of political communication in the digital era.

2. METHOD

This article was written using a qualitative research method and supported by a literature review method by dissecting various things related to how the application of political communication media in influencing voters works. The analysis in this article is based on the extraction of library data/literature studies from several sources of literature (written). The writing was carried out through the process of

extracting data from various reference sources that discuss various things related to the application of political communication media in influencing voters, which are published in public media. After that, a descriptive-analytical analysis was carried out to find new meanings. This study chose the content analysis method to obtain accurate textual results considering that content analysis is a test involving efforts to record and systematically study the contents of the media that have been communicated, especially in the form of documents.

The method section of the article already outlines the research approach, utilizing a qualitative method supported by a literature review to analyze the application of political communication media in influencing voter behavior. Data were extracted from credible written sources, including scholarly articles and public media, ensuring scientific rigor as per established research standards. To strengthen the data foundation, supplementary materials such as policy reports on digital campaign trends and media archives, were included. These additional sources, accessed through reputable online databases and open libraries, enrich the contextual analysis of media influence on voter behavior. These sources can be accessed through various places (libraries) and internet media openly.

The content analysis method was employed to systematically study communicated media content, particularly documents, to derive accurate textual insights. This approach aligns with common research techniques, as the validity of the sources is guaranteed through transparent and accessible references, meeting the requirements of a scientific literature study. The researcher did not make direct observations, but the data that had been obtained could be guaranteed to be valid based on scientific research theory, because the reference sources obtained, based on the method used, were sources whose existence could be guaranteed (Prayogi, 2022, 2024, 2025). This comprehensive approach ensures a robust synthesis of diverse, credible references, aligning with scientific research standards. This article can then be a summary of various related articles and writings. Thus, this article is more of a synthesis of existing writings, to then be seen in relation to what can be done in the current context.

3. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The Urgency of Media and Political Communication

In the information era characterized by rapid and abundant data flow, political communication has become a very vital aspect in maintaining the sustainability of democracy. Political information now comes not only from mainstream media, but also from various digital platforms that allow each individual to become both a producer and a consumer of information. In this context, political communication not only conveys political messages, but also shapes public opinion, influences voter attitudes, and even directly directs political actions (Maulana et al., 2023).

Political communication also plays an important role in creating openness and accountability in society. With the availability of various information channels, the public has a greater opportunity to understand public issues, criticize policies, and assess the performance of people's representatives. However, the abundance of information also poses new challenges, such as narrative manipulation and the spread of hoaxes (Syauket et al., 2024). Therefore, the urgency of political communication in the information era lies in its ability to filter, direct, and educate the public responsibly.

The development of media from traditional to digital forms has significantly changed the landscape of political communication. If in the past political information could only be accessed through newspapers, radio, and television, now social media such as Twitter, Facebook, Instagram, and TikTok have become the main means of disseminating political messages. This change shortens the distance between political actors and the public, while allowing for more intense and real-time two-way interaction (Arsyad et al., 2024).

The presence of digital media has also made political communication more personal and emotional. Candidates or political parties can now convey messages tailored to audience segmentation, using visual language and narratives that are easy to accept. On the other hand, social media algorithms tend to

strengthen confirmation bias and narrow the space for open discussion (Fatih et al., 2024). Therefore, understanding the role and impact of the media as the main channel for political communication is very important in assessing the dynamics of modern elections.

The relationship between the media, politicians, and voters is currently becoming increasingly complex because the three influence each other in an open information ecosystem. Politicians use the media to shape their image and convey their agenda, while the media seeks public attention to increase reach and interaction. In the middle, voters are in a position of being torn between competing narratives, both fact-based and opinion-based (Azhar, 2017).

This complexity is also evident in political campaign strategies that increasingly rely on digital technology and data analysis. With the help of the media, politicians can now target political messages specifically to certain groups of voters, known as micro-targeting. This increases the chances of winning, but also raises the risk of opinion manipulation and ignoring broader public issues (Bennett & Gordon, 2021). Therefore, the relationship between media-politicians-voters requires special attention so as not to deviate from the principles of transparency and fairness in democracy.

One of the main problems in current political communication studies is how the media shapes perceptions and influences voter behavior. The media has the ability to direct the focus of public attention on certain issues through the agenda setting process, as well as influence the way society interprets issues through framing. In practice, the media is not only a conveyor of information, but also a political actor that plays a role in shaping voter opinions and preferences (Nurhayati, 2023).

Such conditions make voter/audience behavior no longer entirely based on rationality or objective information. Many voters make political decisions based on emotions, social identities, or visual impressions formed by the media (Mukuan, 2022). This condition poses a major challenge in building a healthy and deliberative democracy. Therefore, the influence of the media—both directly and indirectly—can change people's perceptions and political behavior in the context of general (political) elections.

Basic Concepts of Political Communication and Media

Political communication is an important aspect of democratic life, because it involves the process of conveying political messages from political actors to the public. Political communication answers the basic questions: who says what, to whom, through what channels, and with what influence. This definition shows that political communication is not only about the content of the message, but also who delivers it, who receives it, what media is used, and the impact it has on the public. Political communication also includes all forms of communication that aim to influence the distribution of power in society, whether carried out by political institutions, interest groups, or individuals (Syauket et al., 2024; Zuhdi, 2020).

In the fast-paced digital era, political communication is no longer just a monopoly of political elites or mainstream media. Now, civil society, activists, and even social media figures are also part of the flow of political communication that shapes public opinion. Political communication has evolved into a space for the exchange of ideas, criticism, and aspirations between the people and the government (Lopulalan, 2023; Rahmadi et al., 2024). Therefore, understanding the concept of political communication is important, especially in the context of public participation, government transparency, and elections with integrity.

The main function of political communication in a democracy is as an information channel that connects citizens with the political process. Through the media, the public obtains information about policies, government programs, national issues, and the profiles of candidates competing in the election. This information is an important basis for making rational political decisions. Political communication also allows the formation of public opinion that is more open to differences of opinion, thereby strengthening a healthy deliberative democratic climate (Iskandar, 2017; Prayogi & Nasrullah, 2024).

More than that, political communication has an educational and participatory function. The media not only conveys facts, but also forms political awareness, builds active participation, and strengthens public control over power. This is where honest, transparent, and responsible communication is important. When political communication is manipulated or used to spread disinformation, the quality of democracy can be

threatened. Therefore, the function of political communication greatly determines the direction and quality of the democratization process in a country.

The development of technology has given birth to various types of media that act as the main channels of political communication. Print media such as newspapers and magazines, although starting to be abandoned, are still a reference for political information that has depth of analysis. Electronic media such as television and radio remain strong in reaching a wide audience, especially among people who have not been reached by the internet. Both play an important role in framing public discourse and shaping perceptions of political issues.

On the other hand, digital media and social media have brought about major changes in political communication patterns. The internet, with its social media medium, allows for instant and cross-border message dissemination, enabling direct interaction between politicians and the public. Social media also provides space for politicians to shape their personal image and convey narratives tailored to the target audience.

When explaining the dynamics of media influence on voters, a number of political communication theories can be used to understand how the media works. One of them is the agenda setting theory. This theory states that the media does not tell the public what to think, but tells them what is important to think about. The media has the power to highlight certain issues and ignore others, thus shaping public perceptions of the urgency of a problem (Efendi et al., 2023; Trifanny et al., 2024).

In addition to determining the public agenda, the media also influences the way the public understands an issue through framing theory. According to this theory, the way the media frames news or events will influence the way the public interprets the information. For example, news about demonstrations can be framed as a form of expression of democracy or vice versa as a threat to stability. This frame or “frame” greatly determines the direction of public opinion, because it directs the attention and emotions of readers to certain aspects of an issue (Pratiwi et al., 2014; Prayogi, 2021; Santi, 2012).

Another relevant theory is the uses and gratifications theory which explains that audiences actively choose media based on their needs and goals. In a political context, voters use certain media to seek information, form political identities, or gain a sense of social connectedness (Karunia H et al., 2021). For example, young voters prefer social media because of its interactive and personal nature, while older groups tend to rely on television or print media.

This preference shows that voters are not passive recipients of political messages, but have control over the type of information they consume. However, this tendency can also create polarization if voters only seek information from media that is in line with their views (Kumontoy et al., 2024). Therefore, understanding this theory is important to examine how voter behavior is formed based on the media exposure they choose, and how this influences their political decisions in elections.

The Influence of Media on Voter Behavior

The media has great power in shaping public opinion and the political attitudes of the community. Through the selection of highlighted issues and the way information is presented, the media indirectly directs public attention to certain things that are considered important. When a political issue is reported intensively, the public's perception of the urgency of the issue increases. The media becomes the main intermediary between political actors and the community, forming public discourse that ultimately influences the political orientation of voters (Safitri, 2019).

Not only that, voters' political attitudes are also greatly influenced by the representation of candidates and political parties in the media. The delivery of positive or negative narratives can shape the image of politicians in the eyes of the public. Voters often build their opinions based on information presented by the media, not from direct experience (Azhar, 2017). Therefore, the role of the media is very vital in shaping opinions which in turn can influence voting behavior.

In the digital era, social media has become the main means of building political engagement. Platforms such as Facebook, Twitter, and Instagram allow two-way interaction between politicians and the public.

This strengthens public participation in political discussions and allows political aspirations to be channeled quickly and widely. This phenomenon provides a new democratic space, especially for young people who were previously less involved in the formal political process (Zalzillah & Gumelar, 2024).

However, social media also has a negative impact in the form of political polarization. Social media algorithms tend to present content that suits user preferences, creating an echo chamber that strengthens beliefs and limits diversity of views. When people are only exposed to opinions that are in line with them, the potential for conflict and intolerance towards different views increases. As a result, the public space becomes fragmented and healthy political dialogue is difficult to create (Fatih et al., 2024; Suhendra & Pratiwi, 2024).

In the election process, the media also plays an important role in shaping the image of political candidates. Consistent reporting and strong narratives can create perceptions that stick in the minds of voters. Candidates who successfully manage public communication well will find it easier to gain support because they appear credible, empathetic, and relevant to the expectations of the community. On the other hand, a negative image formed due to bad media coverage can be a major obstacle in gaining public trust (Egim, 2024).

This political image is not only formed through mainstream media, but also through social media (Prayogi, 2023; Safitri, 2019). Candidates are now competing to build a strong political persona in cyberspace. Through uploading photos, videos, and emotional short messages, they try to appear as figures who are "close to the people." This image strategy is often more influential than delivering a rational work program, because it is easier to digest and share widely.

The development of digital campaigns and online political advertising adds a new dimension to political communication. Technology allows campaign messages to be specifically targeted based on demographic data and user preferences (Kustiawan et al., 2022). Thus, campaigns become more efficient, personal, and measurable. Candidates can reach specific groups with tailored messages, increasing the chances of support in target groups.

However, digital campaigns also pose major challenges. The lack of regulation and transparency makes online political advertising vulnerable to misuse to spread disinformation or form misleading perceptions. In addition, because digital campaigns take place in private spaces and are difficult for the public to monitor, the potential for information manipulation is even higher (Nugroho et al., 2013). Therefore, there needs to be clear regulation and digital literacy among voters to maintain the integrity of the democratic process.

In this context, the phenomenon of political buzzers has also emerged, utilizing the power of social media to systematically influence public opinion. Buzzers work by spreading messages, flooding timelines with certain narratives, or even attacking opponents through hoaxes and provocations. Their activities are reinforced by algorithms that prioritize popular content, regardless of its truth (Wahyudin & Sunuantari, 2017).

As a result, voter preferences are no longer fully formed by rational considerations or valid information, but rather by opinions artificially formed by the buzzer network. This situation is exacerbated by the lack of media literacy and the low critical thinking skills of some people. Algorithms that only present what users want to see also strengthen bias and hinder voters from exploring alternative views (A'yun et al., 2025; Fatih et al., 2024).

One of the most serious impacts of this condition is the widespread spread of hoaxes and disinformation. Fake news that is packaged provocatively and goes viral spreads much faster than clarification or correct information. Disinformation is often used as a negative campaign tool to bring down political opponents, create fear, or strengthen certain emotional sentiments in society (Pamungkas & Permana, 2020).

Widespread hoaxes can disrupt voter rationality. When voters make political decisions based on false information, the quality of democracy is also degraded. Therefore, serious efforts are needed to strengthen

media literacy and build critical awareness in society so that they can sort information wisely and not be easily influenced by misleading content.

4. CONCLUSION

Media, both conventional and digital, play a key role in shaping voters' political perceptions and behavior through agenda setting, framing, and information dissemination. Social media, with its interactive nature, encourages political participation, especially among the younger generation, but also exacerbates polarization due to algorithms that reinforce one-sided views and hoaxes. Modern political campaigns must be data-driven, strategically utilizing social media with educational messages, and supported by voter education to build the public's ability to critically analyze information. Collaboration between the media, politicians, and civil society is needed to maintain journalistic professionalism, encourage ethical campaigns, and strengthen media literacy for a healthy political ecosystem.

The study's content analysis reveals that conventional and digital media shape voter perceptions by prioritizing specific political issues, such as governance or corruption, through agenda setting and framing techniques. Social media platforms significantly increase political participation, especially among younger voters, but also deepen polarization and spread disinformation by creating echo chambers and amplifying manipulative narratives from political actors. The analysis of digital campaign trends emphasizes the importance of strategic, educational messaging to effectively engage voters in the digital era. The literature review highlights media literacy as essential for equipping voters to critically evaluate information and counter misleading content.

The digital era has transformed political communication, demanding that people have the ability to understand technology and recognize information manipulation. Collaboration among media, politicians, academics, and civil society, supported by clear and transparent regulations, is crucial to maintain journalistic integrity and foster a healthy democratic ecosystem, ensuring voters can make informed decisions based on accurate information in the digital age. Responsible media freedom, supported by independent media as political watchdogs, is crucial to maintaining the integrity of democracy. This challenge requires cooperation between the government, media, academics, and society to create a mature, fair, and dignified democracy, by maintaining the quality of political information and communication through regulation, collective awareness, and strengthening digital literacy.

REFERENCE

- A'yun, Q., Setiawan, S., & Prayogi, A. (2025). Konvergensi Digital dan Fikih Muamalah: Membangun Ekosistem Keuangan Syariah Berkelanjutan. *Jurnal Ekonomi Bisnis, Manajemen Dan Akuntansi*, 5(1), 100–108. <https://doi.org/doi.org/10.47709/jebma.v5n1.5740>
- Arsyad, Dzaljad, R. G., Nurmianani, M., & Rantona, S. (2024). Media Sosial sebagai Agen Transformasi Politik: Analisis Pengaruh terhadap Proses Komunikasi Politik. *Jurnal Pendidikan Dan Ilmu Sosial*, 2(2), 240–251. <https://doi.org/10.54066/jupendis.v2i2.1593>
- Aulia, W., & Lexianingrum, S. R. P. (2024). Analisis Fenomena Buzzer pada Konten Media Sosial Tiktok Menjelang Pemilu 2024. *IJM: Indonesian Journal of Multidisciplinary*, 2(4), 176–187. <https://journal.csspublishing/index.php/ijm>
- Azhar, A. A. (2017). *Komunikasi Politik untuk Pencitraan: Konsep, Strategi dan Pencitraan Politik* (S. A. Sahfutra (Ed.)). Perdana Mulya Sarana.
- Bajari, A. H., & Morin, J. P. H. (2025). PENGARUH KONTEN DIGITAL TERHADAP PARTISIPASI POLITIK: KAJIAN LITERATUR TENTANG AKTIVISME MEDIA SOSIAL. *Journal of Community Dedication*, 5(1), 30–41.
- Bennett, C. J., & Gordon, J. (2021). Understanding the “Micro” in Political Micro-Targeting: An Analysis of Facebook Digital Advertising in the 2019 Federal Canadian Election. *Canadian Journal of Communication*, 46(3), 431–459. <https://doi.org/10.22230/cjc.2021v46n3a3815>

- CN, M. M., & Siregar, M. (2024). PERAN MEDIA MASSA DALAM KEBIJAKAN PUBLIK. *Jurnal Ilmu Sosial Dan Ilmu Politik*, 4(2), 133–140. <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.30742/juispol.v4i2.4159>
- Djulhijjah, A. S., & Kencono, P. S. (2024). Kebebasan Pers Dalam Penyampaian Berita Menurut Undang-Undang Nomor 40 Tahun 1999 Tentang Pers. *Indonesian Journal of Law and Justice*, 2(1), 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.47134/ijlj.v2i1.3047>
- Efendi, E., Taufiqurrohman, A., Supriadi, T., & Kuswananda, E. (2023). Teori Agenda Setting. *Jurnal Pendidikan Tambusai*, 7(1), 1715–1718.
- Egim, A. S. (Ed.). (2024). *Branding Strategy di Era Digital*. Eureka Media Aksara.
- Fatih, I. Z. Al, Putera, R. A., & Umar, Z. H. (2024). Peran Algoritma Media Sosial dalam Penyebaran Propaganda Politik Digital Menjelang Pemilu. *Jurnal Kajian Strategik Ketahanan Nasional Volume*, 7(1), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.7454/jkskn.v7i1.10090>
- Faturahman, R., Azahra, N., Perdika, A. T., Akmal, F. M., Kamila, N. R., & Khoirotun, P. (2024). Polarisasi Sebagai Bentuk Efek Negatif Dalam Pembentukan Opini Publik Pada Pemilihan Presiden 2019. *INTERAKSI PERADABAN: Jurnal Komunikasi Dan Penyiaran Islam*, 4(1), 99–115. <http://journal.uinjkt.ac.id/index.php/interaksi>
- Iskandar, D. J. (2017). Pentingnya Partisipasi Dan Peranan Kelembagaan Politik Dalam Proses Pembuatan Kebijakan Publik. *Jurnal Ilmu Administrasi: Media Pengembangan Ilmu Dan Praktek Administrasi*, 14(1), 17–35. <https://doi.org/10.31113/jia.v14i1.2>
- Juditha, C., Boer, K. M., Sadasri, L. M., Nurdiarti, R. P., Kusumawardhani, A., Lestari, R. D., Ayun, A. W. P. Q., Dwiana, R., Nastain, M., & Santoso, D. H. (2018). New Media & Komunikasi Politik (Telaah Kontestasi Politik dalam Ruang New Media). In D. H. Santoso (Ed.), *Media Komunikasi Politik*. Mbridge Press. <https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=Pqy-DwAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PA87&dq=politik+gender&ots=3KK9V2VhHB&sig=yCAd0AC1nbkmMU-ohcfZaWVpEzs>
- Kadir, A., Usman, A., & Imam, S. (2024). Eksaminasi Peran Media Lokal dalam Komunikasi Politik Pilkada Serentak 2024: Studi Kasus di Kota Bima. *JIAN: Jurnal Ilmu Administrasi Negara*, 21(2), 29–42.
- Karunia H, H., Ashri, N., & Irwansyah, I. (2021). Fenomena Penggunaan Media Sosial : Studi Pada Teori Uses and Gratification. *Jurnal Teknologi Dan Sistem Informasi Bisnis*, 3(1), 92–104. <https://doi.org/10.47233/jteksis.v3i1.187>
- Kumontoy, S. G., Dolontelide, M. C., & Ekayani, A. D. (2024). Analisis Penggunaan dan Gratifikasi Aplikasi Voisa.App dalam Mencari Koneksi Anonim di Era Digital pada Generasi Z. *Jurnal Manajemen Pendidikan Dan Ilmu Sosial*, 5(5), 1615–1622. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.38035/jmpis.v5i5>
- Kustiawan, W., Ramadhani, K. R., Damanik, S. V., & Muharramsyah, A. (2022). Pengaruh Iklan Politik Dalam Mengambil Aspirasi Rakyat. *SIBATIK JOURNAL: Jurnal Ilmiah Bidang Sosial, Ekonomi, Budaya, Teknologi, Dan Pendidikan*, 1(8), 1371–1380. <https://doi.org/10.54443/sibatik.v1i8.178>
- Lopulalan, D. L. Y. (2023). Komunikasi Politik Dalam Pemerintahan. *Hipotesa*, 17(2), 11–22. www.freepik.com
- Maulana, W., Anisa, R., Darmawan, R. S., Rahmawati, S. S., & Nisa, S. A. (2023). Etika Dan Efek Komunikasi Politik Pada Pemilu Di Indonesia. *'Siyasi : Jurnal Trias Politica*, 1(1), 79–91.
- Mukuan, F. E. (2022). Perilaku politik pemilih pemula pada pemilihan walikota manado 2020. *Jurnal Politico*, 11(2), 1–15. <https://ejournal.unsrat.ac.id/index.php/politico/article/view/42704%0Ahttps://ejournal.unsrat.ac.id/index.php/politico/article/download/42704/37649>
- Nugroho, Y., Siregar, M. F., & Laksmi, S. (2013). *Memetakan Kebijakan Media di Indonesia*. www.fostrom.com
- Nurhayati, M. (2023). Komunikasi Politik dan Peran Media dalam Pemilihan Umum. *Lancah: Jurnal Inovasi Dan Tren*, 1(2), 217–222. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.35870/ljit.v1i2.2154>

- Pamungkas, C., & Permana, Y. S. (Eds.). (2020). *Intoleransi dan Politik Identitas Kontemporer di Indonesia*. LIPI Press.
- Pratiwi, E. N., Utama, M. C., Pratama, S. S., Syair, M. A., & Widhiandono, D. (2014). ANALISIS FRAMING BERITA PERTEMUAN DONALD TRUMP DAN VOLODYMYR ZELENSKY DI GEDUNG PUTIH DALAM PEMBERITAAN DETIK.COM DAN CNN INDONESIA. *RELASI: Jurnal Penelitian Komunikasi*, 05(02), 38–57.
- Prayogi, A. (2021). ANALISA PENGGUNAAN VIDEO GAME SEBAGAI MEDIA DAKWAH. *HIKMAH*, 15(2), 157–180. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.24952/hik.v15i2.4127>
- Prayogi, A. (2022). Ruang Lingkup Filsafat Sejarah dalam Kajian Sejarah. *SINDANG: Jurnal Pendidikan Sejarah Dan Kajian Sejarah*, 4(1), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.31540/sindang.v4i1.1252>
- Prayogi, A. (2023). Pan-islamism and response to the collapse of ottoman turks in the dutch east indies in 1924. *Paramita*, 33(1), 76–85. <https://doi.org/10.15294/paramita.v33i1.36343>
- Prayogi, A. (2024). Application of Video Games as Part of Learning Islamic History. *EDUTREND: Journal of Emerging Issues and Trends in Education*, 1(1), 20–27. <https://doi.org/10.59110/edutrend.300>
- Prayogi, A. (2025). Gaya Belajar Siswa Bimbingan Belajar Luar Sekolah: Studi Kasus di Kota Bandung. *Jurnal Bersama Ilmu Pendidikan*, 1(1), 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.55123/didik>
- Prayogi, A., & Nasrullah, R. (2024). Artificial Intelligence dan Filsafat Ilmu: Bagaimana Filsafat Memandang Kecerdasan Buatan Sebagai Ilmu Pengetahuan. *LogicLink: Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Multimedia in Informatics*, 1(2), 144–155.
- Rahmadi, A. N., Mubaroq, A., Kristiyono, Nuraliza, V., Melani, A. P., & Ifana, A. (2024). Peran Komunikasi Politik Dalam Membentuk Opini Publik Menghadapi Pemilu 2024. *CENDEKIA: Jurnal Ilmu Sosial, Bahasa Dan Pendidikan*, 4(1), 245–261. <https://doi.org/10.55606/cendekia.v4i1.2514>
- Safitri, P. N. (2019). Dinamika Media Komunikasi Sebagai Interaksi Politik. *Komunike*, 11(2), 1–21. <https://doi.org/10.20414/jurkom.v11i2.2282>
- Santi, S. (2012). Frame Analysis: Konstruksi Fakta Dalam Bingkai Berita. *Forum Ilmiah*, 9(3), 219–232. <file:///C:/Users/admin/Downloads/807-1695-1-SM.pdf>
- Suhendra, & Pratiwi, F. S. (2024). Peran Komunikasi Digital dalam Pembentukan Opini Publik: Studi Kasus Media Sosial. *Prosiding: Resiliensi Indonesia Dalam Pusaran Disrupsi Global*, 293–315. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.30589/proceedings.2024.1059>
- Syauket, A., Indriasari, R., Prayitno, R. B., Mendrofa, D. E. K., & Cabui, C. E. (2024). *KOMUNIKASI POLITIK PEMERINTAHAN*. CV. Literasi Nusantara Abadi.
- Trifanny, R., Aulia, A., Agustina, R. D., Salsabila, R., & Isnanda, R. M. (2024). Peran media sebagai agenda setting pada penyebaran peringatan darurat. *Triwikrama: Jurnal Ilmu Sosial*, 5(12).
- Tsuyoshi, C., Marthin, M., Tasya, P., Vitryanov, N., & Tiurmaida, P. (2024). Peran Buzzer dalam Membentuk Opini Publik Netizen Tiktok: Studi Kasus Kampanye Gemoy. *DIGICATION*, 1(1), 80–95. <http://ejournal.uki.ac.id/index.php/digication/article/view/6217>
- Wahyudin, A., & Sunuantari, M. (Eds.). (2017). *Melawan Hoax di Media Sosial dan Media Massa*. Askopis Press. http://scioteca.caf.com/bitstream/handle/123456789/1091/RED2017-Eng-8ene.pdf?sequence=12&isAllowed=y%0Ahttp://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.regsciurbeco.2008.06.005%0Ahttps://www.researchgate.net/publication/305320484_SISTEM_PEMBETUNGAN_TERPUSAT_STRATEGI_MELESTARI
- Zalzillah, L. N., & Gumelar, R. G. (2024). Kontribusi Media Digital dalam Membangun Opini Publik Pemilu 2024 (Studi Kasus Narasi Newsroom). *JPW (Jurnal Politik Walisongo)*, 6(1), 48–59. <https://doi.org/10.21580/jpw.v6i1.19527>
- Zuhdi, M. (2020). *Komunikasi Politik di Era Virtual Dinamika Komunikasi dan Media Pasca Pemilu Serentak 2019* (Misterfo (Ed.)). Buku Litera.